## Appendix A

# Statistical Distinguishers

As usual in these lecture notes we restrict ourselves to finite probability spaces.

## A.1 Distingishing Distributions by a Test

Let A be a finite probability space with two probability distributions  $P_0$  and  $P_1$ . Accordingly for a real valued function  $\Delta: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have the mean values (or expectations)

$$\mu_i = \sum_{a \in A} \Delta(a) \cdot P_i(a).$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  we call  $\Delta$  an  $\varepsilon$ -distinguisher of  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  if

$$|\mu_1 - \mu_0| \ge \varepsilon$$
.

That is, the expectations of  $\Delta$  with respect to  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  differ considerably.

Note the analogy with the common statistical test scenario where we decide whether a sample deviates from an assumed distribution by comparing mean values.

This notion has an obvious analogue for bit valued functions (or binary attributes)  $\Delta \colon A \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ . Here

$$\mu_i = \sum_{a \in \Delta^{-1}(1)} P_i(a) = P_i(\Delta^{-1}(1))$$

is the probability that  $\Delta(a) = 1$  for a randomly chosen  $a \in A$ . Thus

$$\mu_1 - \mu_0 = P_1(\Delta^{-1}(1)) - P_0(\Delta^{-1}(1)).$$

The "test"  $\Delta \varepsilon$ -distinguishes between the distributions  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  if the probabilities for  $\Delta(a) = 1$  with respect to these two distributions differ by at least  $\varepsilon$ .

136

Note that the notion "test" just means "function". However in the present context it suggests a role that this function plays. A similar remark also holds for the notion "randomize".

We may "randomize" our test by more generally considering a function

$$\Delta: A \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

where  $\Omega$  is a finite probability space from which we take an additional random input  $\omega$ , and then consider the probabilities  $\mu_i$  that  $\Delta(a,\omega) = 1$ ,

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{\#A \cdot \#\Omega} \cdot \#\{(a, \omega) \in A \times \Omega \mid \Delta(a, \omega) = 1\}.$$

## A.2 Testing Bitsequences

A statistical test for bitsequences of length r is simply a Boolean function  $\Delta \colon \mathbb{F}_2^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , a probabilistic statistical test is a function

$$\Delta: \mathbb{F}_2^r \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

where  $\Omega$  is a finite probability space.

We want to distinguish between random bitsequences  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$ , and bitsequences that arise from a "generator map"

$$G \colon \mathbb{F}_2^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$$

that transforms a randomly chosen  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  (called "seed") to a bitsequence  $G(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$ . This sequence G(x), if it passes our tests, may qualify as a pseudorandom sequence. In this test scenario the reference distribution  $P_0$  is the uniform distribution on  $\mathbb{F}_2^r$ ,

$$P_0(u) = \frac{1}{2^r}$$
 for all  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$ .

We want to compare it with the induced distribution

$$P_1(u) = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid G(x) = u\}.$$

Or, somewhat more generally, if G is defined on a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  only,

$$P_1(u) = \frac{1}{\#A} \cdot \#\{x \in A \mid G(x) = u\}.$$

A probabilistic statistical test  $\Delta: \mathbb{F}_2^r \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$   $\varepsilon$ -distinguishes between random bitsequences  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$  and sequences generated by  $G: \mathbb{F}_2^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$  if

$$|\mu_1 - \mu_0| \ge \varepsilon$$

137

where

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2^r \cdot \#\Omega} \cdot \#\{(u,\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_2^r \times \Omega \mid \Delta(u,\omega) = 1\}$$

is the probability that the test assigns the value 1 to a random bit sequence  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$ , and

$$\mu_1 \ = \ \frac{1}{2^n \cdot \#\Omega} \cdot \#\{(x,\omega) \in A \times \Omega \mid \Delta(G(x)), \omega) = 1\}$$

is the probability that the test yields the value 1 for a bitstring generated by a random seed  $x \in A$ .

### Examples

We want to distinguish sequences generated by a map  $G: \mathbb{F}_2^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$  from random sequences (by deterministic tests, that is  $\#\Omega = 1$ ).

#### Example 1

First an extremely simple example with the test function

$$\Delta \colon \mathbb{F}_2^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2, \quad \Delta(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \#\{i \mid u_i = 1\} \ge \frac{r}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

That is  $\Delta$  decides on the majority of ones in the sequence u. Then obviously  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Case 1a: Let n = 1 and  $G: \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$  be defined by

$$G(0) = (0, 0, 0, \ldots),$$
  
 $G(1) = (1, 1, 1, \ldots).$ 

Then also  $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ , yielding  $\mu_1 - \mu_0 = 0$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is not an  $\varepsilon$ -distinguisher for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Case 1b: We keep the definition of G(1) but change the definition of G(0)

$$G(0) = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \ldots).$$

Then  $\Delta(G(0)) = \Delta(G(1)) = 1$ , hence  $\mu_1 = 1$ , yielding  $\mu_1 - \mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -distinguisher for  $0 < \varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$ .

138

#### Example 2

For a serious example we consider sequences generated by a linear feedback shift register  $G: \mathbb{F}_2^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$  of length n where  $2n < r \le 2^n - 1$ . We know that the output of G is distinguished by a low linear complexity  $\lambda(u) \le n$ . Therefore we use

$$\Delta \colon \mathbb{F}_2^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2, \quad \Delta(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda(u) < \frac{r}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda(u) \ge \frac{r}{2}, \end{cases}$$

as test . Since  $n < \frac{r}{2}$  this yields

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \Delta(G(x)) = 1\} = 1.$$

For arbitrary sequences  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$  we know from Theorem 3 that we may expect  $\lambda(u) \approx \frac{r}{2}$ . A more precise statement follows from the frequency count in Proposition 11

$$k := \#\{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^r \mid \lambda(u)) \le \frac{r-1}{2}\} = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} 2^{2l-1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} 4^l.$$

Case 2a: Let r be even. Then  $\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{r}{2} - 1$ , and

$$k = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4^{r/2} - 1}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot (2^r - 1) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2^r,$$
$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2^r} \cdot k = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^r} \le \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{for } r \ge 1.$$

Case 2b: Let r be odd. Then  $\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{r-1}{2}$ , and

$$k = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4^{(r+1)/2} - 1}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot (2^{r+1} - 1) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^r,$$
  
$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2^r} \cdot k = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^r} \le \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } r \ge 1.$$

Hence in any case we have

$$\mu_1 - \mu_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
 for  $r \ge 1$ .

Thus  $\Delta$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -distinguisher for  $0 < \varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$ , distinguishing between LFSR sequences and random sequences.