### 3.8 The AKS Algorithm

We describe the algorithm in the version given by Lenstra/Bernstein. It is not trimmed to uttermost efficiency but aims at a transparent proof of polynomiality.

## Input

An integer $n \geq 2$.
We measure the length of the input by the number $\ell$ of bits in the representation of $n$ to base 2 ,

$$
\ell= \begin{cases}\left\lceil\log _{2} n\right\rceil, & \text { if } n \text { is not a power of } 2, \\ k+1, & \text { if } n=2^{k} .\end{cases}
$$

## Output

A Boolean value, coded as "COMPOSITE" or "PRIME".

## Step 1

Catch powers of 2:

- If $n=2$ : output "PRIME", end.
- (Else) if $n$ is a power of 2 : output "COMPOSITE", end.

We recognize this case by $\log _{2} n$ being an integer.
From now on we may assume that $n$ is not a power of 2 , and $\ell=\left\lceil\log _{2} n\right\rceil$.

## Step 2

We precompute a big number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$
N=2 n \cdot(n-1)\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{3}-1\right) \cdots\left(n^{4 \ell^{2}}-1\right)=2 n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{4 \ell^{2}}\left(n^{i}-1\right) .
$$

This number is huge, but more importantly:

- The number $4 \ell^{2}$ of multiplications is polynomial in $\ell$.
- From

$$
N \leq 2 n \cdot n^{\sum_{i=1}^{4 e^{2}} i}=2 n \cdot n^{\frac{4 \ell^{2}\left(4 e^{2}+1\right)}{2}} \leq 2 n \cdot n^{16 \ell^{4}},
$$

we conclude that

$$
k:=\left\lceil\log _{2} N\right\rceil \leq 1+\left(16 \ell^{4}+1\right) \cdot \ell
$$

is polynomial in $\ell$.
We repeatedly use this integer $k$ in the following. We have $N<2^{k}$, and $k$ is the smallest positive integer with this property.

## Requirements

We have to find positive integers $r$ and $s$ that satisfy the following requirements:

1. $r$ and $n$ are coprime.
2. The integer interval $[1, \ldots, s]$ contains no prime divisor of $n$.
3. For each divisor $d \left\lvert\, \frac{\varphi(r)}{q}\right.$, where $q=\operatorname{ord}_{r} n$,

$$
\binom{\varphi(r)+s-1}{s} \geq n^{2 d \cdot\left\lfloor\frac{\varphi(r)}{d}\right\rfloor}
$$

4. The primality criterion: For all $a=1, \ldots, s$

$$
(X+a)^{n} \equiv X^{n}+a \quad\left(\bmod \left(n, X^{r}-1\right)\right)
$$

## Step 3

We choose $r$ as the smallest prime that doesn't divide $N$. Then $r$ also doesn't divide $n$. In particular requirement 1 is satisfied.

Why can we find $r$ with polynomial cost?
By one of the extensions of the prime number theorem, equation (2), we have

$$
\prod_{p \leq 2 k, p \text { prime }} p=e^{\vartheta(2 k)}>2^{k}>N .
$$

Thus not all primes $<2 k$ divide $N$.
With costs that are at most quadratic in $2 k$, and thus polynomial in $\ell$, we get the list of all primes $\leq 2 k$ (using Eratosthenes' sieve).

## Step 4

Set $s:=r$. Then requirement 2 is not necessarily satisfied. Hence we run through the list of primes $p<r$ that is known from step 3:

- If $p=n$ : Output "PRIME", end.
[This can happen only for "small" $n$ since $n$ grows exponentially with $\ell$ but $r$ only polynomially.]
- (Else) If $p \mid n$ : Output "COMPOSITE", end.

If we reach this point in the algorithm, then $s$ satisfies requirement 2.

## Requirement 3

To prove requirement 3 we start with the observation that $q:=\operatorname{ord}_{r} n>4 \ell^{2}$.
Otherwise $n^{i} \equiv 1(\bmod r)$ for some $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq 4 \ell^{2}$, hence $r\left|n^{i}-1\right| N$, contradiction.

Now assume $d$ divides $\frac{\varphi(r)}{q}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & \leq \frac{\varphi(r)}{q}<\frac{\varphi(r)}{4 \ell^{2}} \\
2 d \cdot\left\lfloor\sqrt{\frac{\varphi(r)}{d}}\right\rfloor & \leq 2 d \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(r)}{d}}=\sqrt{4 d \varphi(r)}<\frac{\varphi(r)}{\ell}<\frac{\varphi(r)}{2 \log n} \\
n^{2 d \cdot\left\lfloor\sqrt{\frac{\varphi(r)}{d}}\right\rfloor} & <n^{\frac{\varphi(r)}{2 \log n}}=2^{\varphi(r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand $\varphi(r) \geq 2$, so

$$
\binom{\varphi(r)+s-1}{s}=\binom{\varphi(r)+r-1}{r}=\binom{2 \varphi(r)}{\varphi(r)+1} \geq 2^{\varphi(r)}
$$

Hence requirement 3 is satisfied.

## Step 5

Next we check requirement 4,

$$
(X+a)^{n} \equiv X^{n}+a \quad\left(\bmod \left(n, X^{r}-1\right)\right)
$$

in a loop for $a=1, \ldots, r$. The number of iterations is at most $r$, thus $\leq 2 k$, hence polynomial in $\ell$. During each iteration we have two binary power computations, hence a total of at most $4 \ell$ multiplications, the factors being polynomials of degree $<r$-polynomial in $\ell$-with coefficients of size $<n$, hence of bitlength polynomial in $\ell$.

- If an $a$ violates requirement 4, then output "COMPOSITE", end.

Otherwise all $a$ satisfy requirement 4 , therefore $n$ is a prime power by the AKS criterion.

## Step 6

Finally we must decide whether $n$ is a proper prime power. Since the primes $\leq r$ don't divide $n$, we only have to check in a loop for $t$ with $1<t<\log _{r} n$ :

- If $\sqrt[t]{n}$ is integer: Output "COMPOSITE", end.

The number of iterations is $\leq \ell$, and the test in each single iteration also takes polynomial cost, if we compute $\lfloor\sqrt[t]{n}\rfloor$ by a binary search in the interval $[1 \ldots n-1]$.

- If the algorithm reaches this point, output "PRIME", end.

This completes the proof of:

Theorem 1 The AKS algorithm decides the primality of $n$ with costs that depend polynomially on $\log n$.

